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**ABSTRACT**

Introduction: *Achyranthes* is a well-known herb used in folklore and traditional systems of medicine for its therapeutic value. The two species *Achyranthes aspera* and *Achyranthes bidentata* are used interchangeably by people and by herbal industries due to their resemblance in appearance. Therefore, the present study was undertaken to evaluate the comparative pharmacognostic and pharmacological properties of both species. Methods: Pharmacognostic characters were evaluated as per the guidelines of Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India. A quantitative HPTLC method was developed for quantification of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid using toluene: ethyl acetate: formic acid (6: 4: 0.5 v/v/v) as a mobile phase. Quantification was performed using linear regression analysis by plotting the peak area vs concentration curve with 2000-5000 ng/band ($R^2 = 0.998$) for oleanolic acid and 2000-5000 ng/band ($R^2 = 0.994$) for linoleic acid. The developed method was validated in terms of accuracy, recovery and inter and intraday study as per ICH guidelines. Antioxidant activity of methanolic extracts was estimated by five different models viz. DPPH free radical scavenging assay, total antioxidant capacity, reducing power assay, total flavonoid and phenol content. Anti-diabetic activity was analyzed by α-amylase inhibition assay using 3, 5 di nitro salicylic acid and iodine starch method. Results: The limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) of oleanolic acid and linoleic acid were determined, respectively, as 0.426, 1.29 and 0.427, 1.29 μg mL$^{-1}$. Inhibition of free radicals increases with concentration and $IC_{50}$ of *A. aspera* and *A. bidentata* was obtained at 1.35 ± 0.173 mg/ml and 1.28 ± 0.169 mg/ml respectively. In *in vitro* anti-diabetic activity, $IC_{50}$ value shows that *A. bidentata* exhibit better activity than *A. aspera*. Conclusion: The present study generates data for the proper establishment of quality control standards of the crude drug. Key words: *Achyranthes*, Antioxidant, α-amylase, HPTLC, Oleanolic acid, Linoleic acid.

INTRODUCTION

*Achyranthes aspera* L. (Amaranthaceae) is an erect herb found commonly as a weed throughout in India. In Ayurveda, it is used as abortifacient, treatment of fever, dysentery and diabetes. Various parts of species have potential pharmacological actions i.e. cardiovascular toxicity, pronounced insect malting hormonal activity, cure ophthalmia and opacities of cornea and are used as astringents, in abdominal tumors and stomach pain. 1 Species also possess antibacterial, 2 anti-inflammatory 3 and abortifacient activity, 4 anti depressant, antinociceptive and Hepato carcinogenic etc. 5 It contains achyranthine an alkaloid, amino acids, carbohydrate, arhamnopranosyl, β-D glucuronopranosyl, β-D galactopranosyl, sugars and inokosterone.6,7,8,9 *Achyranthes bidentata* Blume is also an erect, annual herb, grow in tropical and subtropical climatic regions of Asia. The plant has been proven to have wide application in traditional and folk medicine in India, as well as other eastern countries. 10 Traditionally, a paste of *A. bidentata*, Coix seed and *Phellodendron amurense* bark (in equal quantity), used on swollen part thrice a day. In Nepal, root is used for the tooth care. Medically, *A. bidentata* is used as anti-aging, 11 antitumor, 12 anti-senility, 13 anti-inflammatory, anti-pyretic, antirheumatic, anti-inflammatory, immunomodulatory and diuretic activity. 14 The whole plant and particularly the root part have been shown to contain tyrosine, Rubrosterone, betaine, glycine, arginine, phytoecdysteroids, glutamic acid, various saponins, and polysaccharides. 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 1 It is also very often sold in the market in place of *A. aspera*. 20, 21 The simultaneous quantification with method validation parameters of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid has not yet been reported in these species and therefore undertaken in this study which may be utilized for the proper standardization of these drugs.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

Chemicals and reagents

Ascorbic acid, quercetin, rutin, BHT (Butylated hydroxytoluene) and 1,1-diphenyl-2-picryl-hydrazyl (DPPH), were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All...
the solvents and chemicals (AR grade) are obtained from SD Fine Chemicals, Mumbai, India.

**Plant materials and preparation of extract**

*Achyranthes aspera* L. and *Achyranthes bidentata* Blume were collected from Lucknow (U.P) and Palampur (H.P) respectively in the month of January-February. Specimens were authenticated and deposited in herbarium with voucher specimen numbers AS; 254035 and AB; 254034. Aerial parts were washed (water), shade dried and then powdered (40 mesh) for further analysis. 2 gm powdered sample of each species was extracted successively in petroleum ether to remove the fatty impurity and then with methanol (100 ml) for three times. Extracts were filtered, pooled, concentrated under standard conditions of temperature and pressure and then quantified.

**Physicochemical characterization**

Physicochemical parameters viz. moisture content, total ash, water soluble ash, acid insoluble ash and extractive values (hexane, alcohol and water-soluble extractives) were evaluated and quantified. Various other metabolites i.e. sugar, starch, phenolics, flavonoids and tannin were also quantified as per standard protocols.

**In-vitro Antioxidant Activity**

Total phenolic content was calculated in terms of mg/gm GAE (Gallic Acid Equivalent) based on calibration curve of Gallic acid as standard and total flavonoid contents of the extract was depicted in terms of mg/gm of QE (Quercetin Equivalent). The anti oxidant potential was analyzed via ferric reducing power assay, DPPH radical scavenging assay and total antioxidant activity etc.

**In-vitro Anti diabetic (α-amylase inhibition) Activity**

**Dinitro salicylic acid method (DNS)**

The inhibition assay was performed using standard DNS method. The results were expressed as % inhibition calculated using the following formula:

\[
\text{Inhibition activity} (\%) = \frac{\text{Abs (Control)} - \text{Abs (extract)}}{\text{Abs (Control)}} \times 100
\]

**Starch-iodine color assay**

Assay was carried out with slight modification based on the starch-iodine test. Inhibition of enzyme activity was calculated as:

\[
\text{Inhibition of enzyme activity} (\%) = \frac{(C - S)}{C} \times 100
\]

where S is the absorbance of the sample and C is the absorbance of blank (no extract).

**Statistical Analysis**

Results were expressed as mean ± S.D. Linear regressions analysis was carried out for standards to calculate total phenolic and flavonoid content and graph pad prism 5 software was used to calculate the IC\text{50} values. One-way ANOVA followed by student’s t test (p<0.01) was applied to find the significance in anti-oxidant and anti diabetic assay’s.

**High Performance Thin Layer Chromatography**

**Preparation of plant extract**

The coarsely powdered drug was completely extracted in methanol. The extract was filtered, dried, and a stock solution of 10 mg/ml was prepared. The solution was filtered through a 0.45 µm Millipore membrane filter (Pall, USA). A working solution of 1.0 mg/ml was prepared for HPTLC studies.

**Preparation of standard solution**

The stock solution of linoleic and oleanolic acid (1 mg/ml) was freshly prepared in methanol and was stored in the dark. The solutions were filtered through a 0.45 µm Millipore membrane filter (Pall, USA) before application.

**HPTLC conditions**

For quantitative analysis, 10 µl of the plant was applied on pre-coated plates with silica gel 60F\text{254} of 0.2 mm thickness as 6 mm-wide bands positioned 10 mm from the bottom and 15 mm from side of the plate, using CAMAG LINOMAT V automated TLC applicator with nitrogen flow providing a delivery speed of 150nl/s from application syringe. Following sample application, layers were developed in a CAMAG twin trough glass chamber which was pre-saturated with mobile phase Toluene: Ethyl acetate: formic acid (6:4:0.5 v/v/v). After development of the plate, it was dried and derivatized with Anisyldehyde sulphuric acid then scanned at 635 nm with a TLC scanner (WINCATS 1.3.2, CAMAG).

**Calibration curve of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid**

A stock solution of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid (1 mg ml−1) was prepared in methanol. Different volumes of stock solution were spotted on the TLC plate to obtain concentrations of 2000–5000 ng per band of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid respectively. The data of peak areas plotted against the corresponding concentrations were treated by least square regression analysis method validation.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Physico-chemical and phytochemical parameters**

Physicochemical and phytochemical parameters of both species were evaluated and represented in Figure 1 and Figure 2 respectively. *A. aspera* showed higher phenolic and flavonoid content than *A. bidentata* Table 1.

**HPTLC Quantification of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid**

Quantification method of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid was developed and validated with mobile phase toluene: ethyl acetate: formic acid (70:2.5:0.5, v/v/v) on precoated silica gel 60 F\text{254} aluminum plates, and densitometric determination was carried out at 635 nm. Linoleic and oleanolic acid as a marker were identified at R\text{f} 0.78±0.03 and 0.89±0.02 respectively. Quantification of linoleic and oleanolic acid was carried out on the basis of calibration curve of standards Table 4. Four dilutions of standards were used in concentration range of 2, 3, 4 and 5 µg/ml and various calibration values were obtained. Concentration of linoleic and oleanolic acid was found in *A. aspera* and *A. bidentata* is 0.018, 0.016 and 0.004018, 0.01018 % per dry weight respectively. (Figure 3, 4 & 5)

**Method validation**

For the validation of the developed method, International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines was followed. Quantification was performed using linear regression analysis by plotting the peak area Vs concentration 2000–5000 ng/band (correlation coefficient: r = 0.999, regression coefficient: R\text{2} = 0.998) for oleanolic acid and 2000–5000 ng/band (correlation coefficient: r = 0.997, regression coefficient: R\text{2} = 0.994) for linoleic acid.

Stock solutions of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid were prepared in four different dilutions and applied on HPTLC plate for preparing four-point linear calibration curves. The percentage content of analytes in the sample was calculated based on calibration curves Table 4. To estimate the LOD and LOQ, the signal-to-noise ratio was determined. LOD was considered as 3:1 and LOQ as 10:1. LOD were obtained 0.427 and 0.426µg, whereas, the LOQ were found to be 1.29 and 20.12 µg spot\textsuperscript{-1} for compounds linoleic acid and oleanolic acid respectively Table 4. Precision validation of method was analyzed by interday and intraday
repeatability studies at single level using fixed concentration (1 mg/ml) of standard solution. RSD (%) values as shown in Table 6 are observed within the limit i.e. NMT 5%. Accuracy is tested through standard addition method by spiking of samples at three different levels of 50, 100 and 150%. Recovery of analyte Table 5 shows the variation from 100.02 to 100.06%, which are in the acceptance limit of 95 – 105% and hence the method was found to be accurate and precise also. The validation results signify that the developed method is suitable for the quantitative analysis of linoleic acid and oleanolic acid compounds in Achyranthus species.

**In vitro antioxidant activity**

The antioxidant potential of the plant was further determined by the DPPH scavenging assay, ferric reducing power, and total antioxidant assay. The dose inhibition curve was used to determine the IC50 value, which is a quantitative measure used in determining the amount of sample required to show 50% inhibitory activity. The DPPH radical scavenging activity of methanol extract (aerial part) of both species effectively scavenged free radicals at different concentrations. Inhibition of free radicals increases with concentration and IC50 of A. aspera and A. bidentata was obtained at 1.35 ± 0.173 mg/ml and 1.28 ± 0.169 mg/ml respectively. Ascorbic acid, quercetin and rutin are used as a standard in Table 2. Reductive capabilities of the methanol extract of the samples were studied in concentration range 25, 50, 100, 200 and 400µg with Regression equation. y = 0.0004x + 0.4519 (R² = 0.9716) for A. aspera and y = 0.0004x + 0.4519 (R² = 0.9716) for the A. bidentata. The reducing ability was found to increase with concentration in both the samples. The total antioxidant capacity was also significance in both species with y = 0.1593x + 1.432, (R² = 0.9791) in A. aspera and y = 0.1271x + 35.242, (R² = 0.9712) in A. bidentata.

**Antidiabetic activity**

These species are also used in treatment of diabetes by local people therefore in the present study we have tried to validate these claims and it was found that both the species showed promising anti-diabetic activity Table 3. The methanolic extract of both the samples showed an increase in activity (inhibition of amylase enzyme) with the increase in concentration. IC50 shows that A. bidentata exhibit potential activity than A. aspera against both the tested models i.e. DNS and iodine–starch model. Acarbose, clinically used pancreatic amylase inhibitor exhibits the activity, ranges from 36.67-95.74% at concentration of 10-200 µg/ml respectively.
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*Figure 1: Comparative physicochemical parameter of two Achyranthus species (Values are mean ± S.D).*
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*Figure 2: Comparative phytochemical parameters of two Achyranthus species (Values are mean ± S.D.)*

### Table 1: Estimation of total phenolics and flavonoids in A. aspera and A. bidentata aerial parts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Total phenolic content (mg/g)*GAE</th>
<th>Total Flavonoid content (mg/g)*QE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>y = 146.2x - 0.024 R² = 0.998</td>
<td>y = 81.37x - 0.080 R² = 0.981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. aspera</td>
<td>0.102±0.115</td>
<td>0.622±0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. bidentata</td>
<td>0.069±0.115</td>
<td>0.162±0.0113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± S.D (n=3)

### Table 2: IC50 values of standard and Achyranthes species in DPPH radical scavenging activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extracts</th>
<th>IC50 (µg/ml)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascorbic acid</td>
<td>3.66 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>5.93 ± 0.115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. aspera</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.173 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. bidentata</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.169 mg/ml</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± S.D (n=3)

### Table 3: Comparative In-vitro anti-diabetic activity of A. aspera and A. bidentata (Aerial parts).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>α-amylase assay IC50 (mg/ml)*</th>
<th>Starch-iodine assay IC50 (mg/ml)*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. aspera</td>
<td>0.812±0.057</td>
<td>0.027 ± 0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. bidentata</td>
<td>1.005±0.048</td>
<td>0.254 ± 0.045</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Mean ± S.D (n=3)
Table 4: Calibration parameter of standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Oleanolic acid</th>
<th>Linolic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>16255.0</td>
<td>10012.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>14327.95</td>
<td>3546.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linearity range (µg/spot)</td>
<td>2000-5000 (ng/mL)</td>
<td>2000-5000 (ng/mL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression equation</td>
<td>y = 16255x + 8638.7</td>
<td>y = 10012x + 42.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression coefficient (R²)</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient (r)</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>2100.15</td>
<td>1296.389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard error</td>
<td>101.586</td>
<td>121.794</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOD (µg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>0.426</td>
<td>0.427</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOQ (µg mL⁻¹)</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: Result and statistical data for recovery studies for linolic acid and oleanolic acid in *A. aspera* and *A. bidendata*.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Amount of linolic acid present in Sample (µg)</th>
<th>Amount of linolic acid added (µg)</th>
<th>Theoretical added value (µg)</th>
<th>Amount of linolic acid analyzed (µg)</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>Average Recovery</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>RSD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>A. aspera</em></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.018</td>
<td>50.021</td>
<td>100.01</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.018</td>
<td>100.017</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150.018</td>
<td>150.016</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. bidendata</em></td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50.016</td>
<td>50.019</td>
<td>100.01</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.0106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100.016</td>
<td>100.012</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>150.016</td>
<td>150.17</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.0002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6: Precision studies for linolic acid and oleanolic acid.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration (ng spot⁻¹)</th>
<th>Amount of Standard</th>
<th>Linolic acid</th>
<th>Oleanolic acid</th>
<th>Linolic acid</th>
<th>Oleanolic acid</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intra Day</td>
<td>Inter Day</td>
<td>Intra Day</td>
<td>Inter Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>%RSD</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>%RSD</td>
<td>SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>0.371</td>
<td>18.24</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>21.407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3000</td>
<td>20.67</td>
<td>0.512</td>
<td>16.45</td>
<td>0.443</td>
<td>21.669</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>0.464</td>
<td>21.29</td>
<td>0.396</td>
<td>11.385</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>19.06</td>
<td>0.288</td>
<td>12.758</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3: HPTLC 3D densitometric quantification of linolic acid and oleanolic acid in methanolic extract of *A. aspera* and *B. bidentata*. Abbreviation: 1- *Achyranthus aspera*, 2- *Achyranthus bidentata*, 3 to 6 Linolic acid, 7- *Achyranthus aspera*, 8- *Achyranthus bidentata*, 9 to 12- Oleanolic acid.
Table 3. 
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SUMMARY

- Physicochemical and phytochemical study was done to evaluate the quality standard of raw drug as per the guideline of API.
- Maximum concentration of oleanolic acid was found in A. aspera; however, linolic acid was highest in A. bidendata.
- In vitro antidiabetic activity, A. bidentata exhibit potential activity than A. aspera against both the tested models. However, antioxidant capacity is almost similar in targeted species.
- The present study provides the scientific data for the proper authentication and establishment of quality standards for further.
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