Neuroprotective Effect of *Pedalium murex* Linn. Leaf against Lipopolysaccharide Induced Behavioural Disorders in Rats
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ABSTRACT

**Introduction:** Effective treatment is necessary to minimize the neuronal damage and oxidative stress. Traditional medicines offer potent pharmacological activity with minimal side effects compared to synthetic drugs to treat such chronic disorders. There is no renowned remedy for arrest or rescuing infection or inflammation-induced brain damage. The present study was aimed to evaluate the neuroprotective effect of ethanol extract of *Pedalium murex* Linn. (EEPM) leaves against lipopolysaccharide (LPS)-induced endotoxemia. **Methods:** Neurodegeneration was induced in rats with a single intraperitoneal injection of LPS (1 mg/kg). The induced endotoxemia constantly linked with battery of behavioural tests viz., choice reaction time task (CRT), 8-arm radial maze (RAM) and water maze test (WMT). At the end of the study, rats were sacrificed, brain hippocampal region was removed and biochemical parameters were measured. **Results:** In WMT swimming length (cm) was increased in LPS-treated rats when compared to control animals, the swimming length (EEPM; 400 mg/kg) was found to be significant; in RAM, different doses of EEPM at 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg decreased the number of errors in entry 4.00±0.36, 4.16±0.16and 3.33±2.79 respectively when compared with control animals (2.66± 0.21). EEPM at 400 mg/kg showed significant activity, in CRT apparatus increased incorrect lever pressing was observed in LPS-treated rats when compared to control animals. Incorrect lever pressing was minimized by EEPM at 400 mg/kg (43.5±2.40). **Conclusions:** Our results showed that EEPM is a hopeful aspirant for hindrance of infection and inflammation induced brain damage by LPS.
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INTRODUCTION

Activation of immune system in response to an infection or bacterial endotoxin lipopolysaccharide (LPS) produces profound neurophysiological, neuroendocrine and behavioral changes. To date, specific pharmacologic agents that can be used in the management of septic shock are lacking and yet a rather scanty number of potential therapeutic agents are under clinical trials.² Endotoxic shock can be elicited by a systemic injection of LPS which induces the production and release of several cytokines.² In response to these cytokines, several reactive oxygen species (ROS) are produced from cells such as neutrophils and other phagocytic cells, creating a status of oxidative stress.³ Thus, this type of stress may hypothetically support the assumption that LPS-induced cell injury would be retarded by modifying free radical metabolism with the aid of potent antioxidant pre-treatment from nature. Certain reports have claimed that a few herbal extracts can act on the central nervous system, thereby enhancing the faculties of learning and memory.⁴ The chemicals derived from plants provide protection against a wide range of etiological factors.⁵ Neuroprotective effect can be evaluated experimentally in LPS-induced rats with behavioral studies. In this present study, we have evaluated the medicinal herb *Pedalium murex* Linn. (*P. murex*) for its neuroprotective effect against LPS-induced behavioural disorders. *P. murex* belongs to Pedaliaceae family and commonly known as "anainerinji". Leaves are a good source of natural antioxidant and widely consumed as vegetables due to its high nutritional value.⁶ Epidemiological studies have suggested positive associations between the consumption of phenolic-rich foods or beverages and the prevention of diseases. These effects have been attributed to antioxidant components such as plant phenolics, flavonoids and phenylpropanoids among others.⁷,⁸ Therefore, an attempt has been made to evaluate the neuroprotective effect of *P. murex* using LPS-induced endotoxemia in rats.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant material

*P. murex* was collected from Komarapalayam, Namakkal District, Tamilnadu, India. The plant was authenticated by Dr. G.V.S. Murthy, Scientist F, Botanical survey of India, Coimbatore, Tamilnadu (No.BSI/SRC/5/23/2012-13/Tech/1934). Then the leaves were isolated, cleaned and shade dried. Dried leaves are crushed to coarse powder and passed between pharmaceutical sieve number 40 and 80. Materials which retained at sieve number 80 were collected and used for extraction.

Preparation of crude extract

Two-thousand grams of powdered leaf was extracted with n-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate and ethanol (90 % v/v) continuously with soxhlet apparatus. Each extract was evaporated by the aid of rotary vacuum evaporator. The dried crude extract of individual solvent was weighed and the percentage yield was calculated. The dried crude extract was stored in a separate glass bottles at -20°C until used.

Preliminary phytochemical screening of *P. murex*

Freshly prepared crude extracts of *P. murex* leaves were tested for the presence of alkaloids, flavonoids, phenolic components, steroids, saponins, terpenoids, glycosides, tannins, fixed oils, proteins and carbohydrates, as described in the textbook Harborne JB.9

Assessment of in vitro antioxidant activity of *P. murex* leaves

Total antioxidant activity

Total antioxidant activity of *P. murex* extract was determined according to the thiocyanate method.10 Stock solution was prepared with *P. murex* extracts using distilled water. Further the final stock solution of *P. murex* extract was prepared with 12.5, 25, 50, 100 and 200 mcg/ml. Potassium phosphate buffer (2.5 ml; 0.04 M, pH 7.0), linoleic acid emulsion in potassium phosphate buffer (2.5 ml; 0.04 M, pH 7.0) was added to the test solution and incubated at 37°C. After incubation, 0.1 ml of the incubated solution, 0.1 ml of FeCl₃ and 0.1 ml thiocyanate were transferred to the ethanol (4.7 ml) containing test tube. The mixture was again incubated for 5 min. The absorbance was measured at 500 nm in a spectrophotometer against blank solution. The percentage inhibition of lipid peroxidation was calculated by the following equation:

\[
\text{Percent inhibition} = \left(\frac{A_0 - A_1}{A_0}\right) \times 100
\]

Where A0 was the absorbance of the control reaction and A1 was the absorbance in the presence of the sample of *P. murex*.

Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

Deoxyribose assay was used to determine the hydroxyl radical scavenging activity. The free radical damage imposed on the substrate was in the form of thiobarbituric reactive substance (TBARS) explained by Ohkawa et al.11 The reaction mixture containing FeCl₃ (200 μmol), EDTA [1.04 mmol], H₂O₂ (1 mmol) and 2-deoxy-D-ribose (2.8 mmol) were mixed with or without extract at various concentration (125-2000 μg/ml) in 1 ml final reaction volume made with potassium phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7.4) and incubated for 1 hr at 37°C. The mixture was heated at 95°C in water bath for 15 min followed by addition of 1 ml each of TCA (2.8%) and TBA (0.5% TBA in 0.025M NaOH containing 0.02% BHA). Finally the reaction mixture was cooled in ice and centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 15 min. Absorbance of the supernatant liquid was measured at 532 nm. Ascorbic acid was used as positive control.

Pharmacological activity

Animals

Sprague Dawley rats (100-150g) were used for the experiments. Animals were obtained from KMCH College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India and maintained at standard housing conditions. A standard commercially available diet was provided with water *ad libitum* during the experiment. The animals were kept in clean and dry polycarbonate cages and maintained in a well-ventilated animal house with 12hr light/dark cycle. This study was approved by the institutional animal ethics committee (Reg. No. KMCRES/Ph.D/08/2015-16).

Acute oral toxicity study

Acute toxicity study was performed according to OECD-guidelines 423. Three animals of same sex were used in each group. Ethanol extract of *P. murex* (EEPM) was administered to each group at 5, 50, 300 and 2000 mg/kg body weight respectively. The animals were fasted over-night before the administration of extract. Animals were observed regularly for 14 days for any signs and symptoms of toxicity.

Experimental design

Animals were randomly allotted into six groups with 6 animals in each group. EEPM was administered for a period of 30 days (p.o). Then neurodegeneration was induced with administration of intraperitoneal LPS (1 mg/kg) in normal saline on day 31. Two hours after the administration of LPS, animals were subjected to behavioural tests and finally sacrificed and the brain was extracted for biochemical analysis.

Group I: Normal group. Animals received 0.1 ml of normal saline orally for 30 days.

Group II: Disease control. Single dose of LPS (1mg/kg).

Group III: Standard group. Dexamethasone (0.5 mg/kg) for 30 days + LPS (1mg/kg).

Group IV: Pre-treatment group. EEPM 100 mg/kg for 30 days + LPS (1mg/kg)

Group V: Pre-treatment group. EEPM 200 mg/kg for 30 days + LPS (1mg/kg)

Group VI: Pre-treatment group. EEPM 400 mg/kg for 30 days + LPS (1mg/kg)

Behavioural tests for learning and memory

Water maze test

The water maze consisted of a circular tank with 100 cm diameter and a wall of 20 cm above the water level. A circular platform was hidden 2 cm below the water level. The water was made opaque using titanium dioxide suspension and was kept at about 23°C during the experiment. Animals were trained for 5 consecutive days with 3 consecutive trials per day and an inter-trial interval of 6-10 min. Each trial started from one of four assigned polar positions with a different sequence each day. The swimming length of platform was measured till it finds the platform.12

Radial-arm maze test 13

The apparatus was wooden elevated eight-arm radial maze with the arms extending from a central platform 26 cm in diameter. Each arm is 56 cm long, 5 cm wide and 2 cm height rails along the length of the arm. The maze was well illuminated and numerous cues were present. Food pellets (reward) were placed at the end of the arms. During the test, rats were fed once a day and their body weight maintained at 85% of their free feeding weight to motivate the rat to run the maze. Animals were trained on a daily basis in the maze to collect the food pellets for 24 days. The session was terminated after 8 choices and the rats had to obtain the maximum number of rewards with a minimum number of errors.
Choice reaction time task test

Rats were trained to press either of 2 levers with a continuous reinforcement schedule at a fixed ratio of 1:1. Trials began with differential reinforcement of another behaviour (DRO) period (random, 2-5 sec) during which the animals had to refrain from pressing either of the 2 levers. During the CRT period (maximum 10 sec), the time between sample presentation with the cue lamp on and pressing the correct lever was defined as the CRT and a food pellet reward will be provided through the pellet dispenser. With further lever-pressing responses, a house lamp was illuminated and intertrial interval (ITI; 20 sec) begun. One trial took approximately 30 sec, and each test session consisted of 30 trials. One session was performed every day for 30 days. The variables measured were the number of incorrect lever pressings during the DRO and ITI periods.

Biochemical analysis

At the end of study, animals were sacrificed and brain hippocampal region was carefully isolated, homogenized in a Potter–Elvehjem homogenizer with 0.1M phosphate buffer (pH 8) at temperature of 0°C. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 10,000×g for 5 min at 4°C and used for biochemical estimations like nitric oxide (NO) and Protein.

Estimation of nitric oxide

The assay of nitric oxide content, in acid medium and in the presence of nitrite, formed nitrous acid diazotize sulphanilamide which was coupled with N–(1–naphthyl) ethylenediamine. The resulting azo-dye had a bright reddish-purple colour, which was measured through spectrophotometry at 540 nm.

Estimation of protein

Protein concentrations of the tissue homogenates was determined by the standard method of estimation explained by Lowry et al., using bovine serum albumin as the standard.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis was carried out by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Dunnet’s test. The results values are expressed as mean±SEM observations from six animals in each group. P values *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.001, ****P<0.0001 were considered significant.

RESULTS

Preliminary phytochemical screening

Preliminary phytochemical screening revealed, *P. murex* leaves contains flavonoids, glycosides, tannins, carbohydrates, phenolic compounds, triterpenoids and saponins, fixed oil, gum, fat and mucilage. Ethanol extract showed the presence of maximum number of compounds. The results of preliminary phytochemical screening is summarized in table 1.

In vitro antioxidant studies

Total antioxidant activity

The total antioxidant capacity of ethanol extract was found to be higher (93.73% at 200 µg/ml) when compared to other solvents used. The IC_{50} values of total antioxidant capacity of ascorbic acid, n-hexane extract, chloroform extract, ethyl acetate extract and ethanol extract was found to be 129.75 µg/ml >200 µg/ml, 65.41 µg/ml, 154.73 µg/ml and 12.74 µg/ml respectively. Amongst, ethanol and chloroform exhibited significant dose dependent antioxidant activity.

Hydroxyl radical scavenging activity

Hydroxyl radical scavenging activities of extracts of *P. murex* leaves were calculated using the standard curve of Gallic acid. All the four extracts, chloroform and ethanol extracts exhibited significant dose dependent free radical scavenging activity. The IC50 values of gallic acid, chloroform extract and ethanol extract was found to be 23.92 µg/ml, 124.0 µg/ml and 155.40 µg/ml respectively. EEPM showed a dose dependent free radical scavenging activity (Figure 1 & 2).

Pharmacological activity

Acute toxicity study

An acute toxicity study of EEPM did not produce any mortality of animals at the dose of 2000 mg kg⁻¹ body weight. Hence, doses of 100 mg kg⁻¹, 200 mg kg⁻¹, and 400 mg kg⁻¹ were selected for investigating neuroprotective activity.

Behavioural tests for learning and memory

Water maze test

Swimming length (cm) was significantly increased in LPS-treated rats when compared to control animals. Administration of EEPM decreased the swimming length in treated animals. The effect of EEPM was profound

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Chemical Test</th>
<th>n-hexane</th>
<th>Chloroform</th>
<th>Ethyl acetate</th>
<th>Ethanol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Alkaloids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Carbohydrates</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Glycosides</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Phytosterol</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Fixed oils and Fats</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Tannins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Phenols</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Proteins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Gums and Mucilages</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>Flavonoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Terpenoids</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>Saponins</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ Present; - Absent.
Table 2: Effect of EEPM on LPS-induced rats by water maze test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Swimming Length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>23.00±0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>LPS (1mg/kg)</td>
<td>42.17±1.13***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Dexamethasone(0.5mg/kg)</td>
<td>29.17±0.70**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>EEPM 100mg/kg</td>
<td>43.50±1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>EEPM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>34.50±1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>EEPM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>34.50±1.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SEM. Observations from six animals in each group. One-way ANOVA followed by Dennett’s test was performed. Group II was compared with group I. Group III, IV, V and VI was compared with group II. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.00; ****P<0.0001.

Radial-arm maze test

EEPM at 400 mg/kg showed significant activity when compared with LPS-treated group (70.67±2.27), (Table 2).

Table 3: Effect of EEPM on LPS-induced rats by 8-arm radial maze test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Number of errors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>32.33±0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>LPS (1mg/kg)</td>
<td>70.67±2.27***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Dexamethasone(0.5mg/kg)</td>
<td>39.17±2.46****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>EEPM 100mg/kg</td>
<td>56.83±1.13***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>EEPM 200mg/kg</td>
<td>63.17±1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VI</td>
<td>EEPM 400mg/kg</td>
<td>43.50±2.40***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SEM. Observations from six animals in each group. One-way ANOVA followed by Dennett’s test was performed. Group II was compared with group I. Group III, IV, V and VI was compared with group II. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.00; ****P<0.0001.

Choice reaction time task test

Increased incorrect lever pressing was observed in LPS-treated rats when compared to control animals in a CRT apparatus. Incorrect lever pressing was minimized by when EEPM was administered at 100, 200 and 400 mg/kg (4.00±0.36, 4.16±0.16 and 3.33±2.79 respectively when compared with control animals (2.66±0.21), (Table 3).

Table 5: Effect of EEPM on the rat brain antioxidant system in LPS-treated rats

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Nitric oxide (µmol/g tissue)</th>
<th>Total proteins(g/dl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>0.68±0.16</td>
<td>1.46±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>4.87±0.10**</td>
<td>1.04±0.10***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dexamethasone</td>
<td>1.43±0.09**</td>
<td>1.35±0.17**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEPM 100mg/Kg</td>
<td>2.90±0.13</td>
<td>1.21±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEPM 200mg/Kg</td>
<td>2.67±0.82**</td>
<td>1.28±0.09***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEPM 400mg/Kg</td>
<td>1.98±0.55**</td>
<td>1.34±0.12***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are expressed as mean±SEM. Observations from six animals in each group. One-way ANOVA followed by Dennett’s test was performed. Group II was compared with group I. Group III, IV, V and VI was compared with group II. *P<0.05; **P<0.01; ***P<0.00; ****P<0.0001.
Nitric oxide levels were found to be elevated and the protein level decreased in LPS-treated rats when compared to control rats, due to neuroinflammation and oxidative stress. The perturbations in the levels of NO and protein were found to be almost normalized following treatment with different doses of EEPM when compared with control group (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Learning and memory is one of the most intensively studied subjects in the field of neuroscience. Learning is the process of acquisition of information and skills, while subsequent retention. Currently available psychoactive drugs, mainly anxiolytics and anti-depressants do not often properly meet the therapeutic demands of patients suffering co morbid psychiatric conditions, and the drawbacks of such drugs in terms of unwanted side effects, incredible benefits and moderate costs. A variety of herbal extracts and their components have been demonstrated to exert neuroprotective effects associated with antioxidant activities, either by directly stimulating antioxidant response genes or by potentiating the bodies’ own natural antioxidant defense systems. *P. murex* shares many of the medicinal properties with other ‘rasayans’ and its neuroprotective effect in different neurodegenerative models is debatable and yet to be established. In this study we had evaluated the herb *P. murex* leaf for LPS-induced endotoxia along with antioxidant potential using ethanol solvent extract. The plant *P. murex* is a well-known herbal medicinal plant used in the Indian medicines for treating several diseases.

**Phytochemical studies**

Preliminary phytochemical studies were carried out on n-hexane, chloroform, ethyl acetate, ethanol extracts of *P. murex* leaves. The results showed the presence of flavonoids, alkaloids, glycosides, terpenoids, tannins, phenolic compounds in *P. murex* leaves. Ethanol extract showed the presence of maximum number of compounds. The total antioxidant capacity of ethanol extract was found to be higher (93.73% at 200 µg/ml) when compared to other solvents used. The total antioxidant capacities of different solvent extracts of leaves of *P. murex* at various concentrations (12.5-200 µg/ml) were in the following order: ethanol>chloroform>ethyl acetate > n-hexane. The hydroxyl radical activity of extracts of leaves of *P. murex* in the order of antioxidant potency of *P. murex* leaf extracts in our study was found to be, chloroform >ethanol >n-hexane.

**Pharmacological studies**

Mazes are traditionally used to evaluate spatial learning and memory. Spatial memory is a form of short term memory utilizing neuro circuitry that provides temporary storage and manipulation of information necessary for complex cognitive tasks such as language comprehension, learning and reasoning. Its impairment is analogous to memory disorder in Alzheimer’s dementia. EEPM pre-treatment significantly reduced escape latency in morris water maze performance, facilitating learning and memory processes integral to spatial navigation. The reduction in memory as compared to LPS alone-treated rats in radial arm-maze task. The choice reaction time task represents the first step of cognition and memory and is related to attention and immediate memory retention ability. The incorrect lever pressing was more in LPS-treated rats. Attention capacity would be recovered by EEPM pre-treatment rats (100, 200 and 400 mg/kg).

**In vivo antioxidant activity**

The NO level was increased protein level was decreased in LPS-treated rats were significantly reversed by EEPM pre-treatment rats. Oxidative changes to proteins due to NO can lead to diverse functional consequences such as inhibition of enzymatic activities, proteolysis and altered immunogenicity.

In our findings, EEPM showed significant results in preliminary phytochemical studies and *in vitro* free radical scavenging activity prompted us to select the ethanol extract for pharmacological screening. Behavioural tests for learning and memory, biochemical estimation results indicated that the endotoxin, LPS, leads to minimal attention capacity, decreased the short term memories in rats which were found to be reversed by EEPM when compared to control treated groups. In this study, a different dose of EEPM ceases the high level of NO and recovers the level of protein in hippocampus region of rat brain.

**CONCLUSION**

Our study results showed that attention and short-term working memory of the animals were severely impaired by LPS. Treatment with EEPM significantly improved the impairment. EEPM is a potential agent for LPS-induced brain damage which may be attributed to the presence of potent antioxidants in *P. murex* leaves. Our study warrants for further research, isolation and characterization of potent compound responsible for the neuroprotective effect.
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