Effects of *Withania somnifera* Nicotine Induced Conditioned Place Preference in Mice

Nitin Govindrao Dumore¹,², Milind Janrao Umekar¹, Brijesh Gulabrao Taksande¹, Manish Manohar Aglawe¹, Nandkishor Ramdasji Kotagale³

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Herbal medicines can be novel treatment strategies for management of nicotine addiction. *Withania somnifera* (Ashwagandha) is an Indian medicinal plant of great medicinal value; used in many clinically proven conditions. **Objective:** In present study we aimed at investigating the effect of *withania somnifera* extract (WSE) on preventing nicotine mediated effects attributed for the development of addiction. **Materials and Methods:** Mice were treated with nicotine and/or WSE and subjected to nicotine induced conditioned place preference (CPP) in male albino mice was checked. **Results:** Application of two-way ANOVA showed that with pre-conditioning and post-conditioning values as within-subjects (column) factor and treatment as an independent between subject (row) factor. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant effect of treatment [F(3,40)=4.119, p<0.05], time [F(1,40)=23.76, p<0.001] and interaction [F(3,40)=5.244, p<0.01] on intra-peritoneal (ip) administration of nicotine (1 mg/kg). WSE did not produce any changes in the preference to drug-paired compartment. Factors like treatment [F(3,40)=0.656, p>0.05], time [F(1,40)=7.383, p<0.01] and interaction [F(3,40)=0.5748, p>0.05] showed insignificant effects. *Withania somnifera* (50,100,200 mg/kg ip) co-administered with nicotine during the 6 days conditioning sessions completely abolished the acquisition of nicotine-induced CPP in mice. **Conclusion:** Above data indicate that *Withania somnifera* attenuate nicotine induced CPP. Hence it has potential as an anti-addictive therapy. **Key words:** Condition place preference, Nicotine, *Withania somnifera*.

**INTRODUCTION**

Quantification of the rewarding effect of addictive drug has widely assessed by employing self-administration or CPP test.¹ CPP occurs when the animals prefer one context more than others. This preference is known to associate with rewarding feeling paired previously with pleasing events.² Thus, CPP paradigm is widely used to explore the reinforcing effects of natural and pharmacological stimuli, including drugs of addiction. To understand and treat dependence disorders, researchers have utilized place conditioning to develop appropriate models of addiction.³ Place conditioning is broadly defined as a pairing between an unconditioned stimulus (US) and a conditioned stimulus (CS) where the US is the administration of the drug or other reward to the model organism and the CS is the distinct environment in which the organism is placed after administration of the drug or reward.⁴ CPP is based on a motivational aspect of the investigated drug and can be defined as an inclination for the model organism to choose the location paired with the drug.

Early CPP studies with nicotine found discrepant results, tested nicotine for CPP by first assessing dose-dependently prevented CPP acquisition – that is learning the association between affective interoceptive properties of morphine and environmental stimuli – an effect that might be attributed to a number of factors, including opposite motivational properties of WSE and WSE antagonism at U-opioid receptors. In agreement with a number of CPP studies with plant extracts,⁶ WSE also blocked morphine-elicited CPP expression via involvement of GABA receptors.¹¹ The results of the study demonstrated, in agreement with other study, that ethanol, under appropriate experimental conditions, elicited both CPP and CPA and that the standardized root extract of *Withania somnifera*...
**somnifera** was able to impair both acquisition and expression of ethanol-elicted CPP and CPA.12-13 In the present study we hypothesized that modulation of stress by herbal interventions may modulate the rewarding potential of nicotine. CPP was employed to test the rewarding effect of nicotine in Swiss-albino mice. Effect of WSE was studied on nicotine-induced CPP.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Animals**

Swiss albino mice (22-30 g) were used in the study. Animals were purchased from the National Institute of Nutrition, Hyderabad, India and kept in the animal house facility of SKB College of Pharmacy, Kamptee, Nagpur. Animals were housed in group of 10 mice per cage in acrylic cages (24×17×12 cm) under a constant room temperature (25±2°C), relative humidity (50±5%), and maintained under a controlled 12:12-h light-dark cycle (lights on at 07:00 h). Rodent chow food pellets and tap water were offered to the animals ad libitum. All the experimental procedures were approved and carried out under strict compliance with Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, constituted for the purpose of control and supervision of experimental animals by the Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India, New Delhi, India (853/ PO/Re/S/04/CPCSEA). Experiments were performed during the light cycle between 09:00 to 17:00-h to avoid circadian variations. Each experimental group had a separate set of animals and the care was taken to ensure that animals used for one experiment were not employed elsewhere. Animals were handled 5 days prior to actual starting of experiment and brought to experimental room at least 1 h before the test to minimize the non-specific stress induced behavioral alteration, if any.

**Drugs:** Nicotine hydrogen Tartrate, *Withania somnifera* extract. WSE and Nicotine were administered by ip route in saline. The doses of nicotine and *Withania somnifera* extract were selected based on preliminary work carried out in our laboratory and available literature.

**Conditioned place preference: Apparatus**
The apparatus consisted of two rectangular Plexiglas boxes (48 L x 20 W x 30 H cm) separated by a guillotine door. The apparatus was placed in a soundproof room with constant light of 50 lux provided by a 5 W LED bulb placed above center of the apparatus. In one compartment inner wall was vertically striped black and white and with smooth white floor (A). In contrast, another compartment has horizontal black and gray striped walls and fine grid floor (B). The spontaneous preference was randomly distributed between compartments (49.19% A and 50.81% B).

**Experimental design**

**Acquisition of CPP**

CPP is three phase animal paradigm. We adapted the protocol from previously reported research articles.12-13 During the first phase (Day 1, Pre-conditioning), the guillotine door was kept raised and each mouse was placed randomly in one or the other compartment and allow to explore both compartment freely for 15 min (900 s). The time spent in one compartment was recorded and taken as an indication of spontaneous (unconditioned) preference.

During the second phase (Days 2-6, Conditioning), mice were administered with saline or nicotine, WSE, per se or in combination. Immediately after nicotine administration mice were placed for 15 min to a given compartment and returned to their home cage. Four hours later, mice were given same treatment regimen except nicotine was replaced by saline and mice were immediately placed in the compartment opposite to that of the morning exposure. Thus one compartment represents saline, while other is paired with nicotine. We selected the interval of four hours between two sessions based on pharmacokinetic data available on WSE. This is in order to avoid any possible carry-over effect of the morning conditioning session to that of the afternoon.

Third phase of CPP paradigm is post-conditioning phase. During this phase (Day 7), the guillotine door between the two compartment was kept raised and mouse were place in one compartment. The time spent by each mouse in the nicotine-paired compartment during 15 min session was recorded. Identical experimental conditions were maintained during pre-conditioning and post-conditioning test. Pre- and post-conditioning test recordings were done by observers blind to the pharmacological treatments.

**Experimental protocol**

**Dose dependent effect of nicotine, WSE on place preference behavior in mice**

Animal from different groups were treated with saline or increasing doses of nicotine (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg, subcutaneous), WSE (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg, ip), withanolide A, withanolide B and withaferin A (5, 10 and 20 mg/kg, intraperitoneal). In the morning, mice were treated daily (Days 2 to 6) with nicotine, WSE, withanolide A, withanolide B or withaferine A and placed in drug-paired compartment for 15 min. On the other hand, in the afternoon session, mice were treated with saline and placed in drug-paired compartment for 15 min. On day 7, mice were exposed to apparatus without administering any drug and time spent in drug-paired compartment was measured.

**Effect of pretreatment of WSE nicotine-induced place preference behavior in mice**

In combination studies, we were aimed to investigate the effect of pretreatment of WSE on nicotine-induced place preference behavior in mice. In the morning session of days 2-6 (Conditioning), animals from different groups were first injected with WSE followed by nicotine 30 min later. Immediately after nicotine injection mice were placed in nicotine paired compartment (A). In the afternoon session, mice received saline instead of WSE and nicotine injection. Mice were again placed in saline paired compartment (B). On day 7 (Post-conditioning), none of the groups received drug treatments and mice were placed in any one of the two compartment. Guillotine door was opened and time spend by mice in nicotine-paired compartment was measured during 15 min session.

**Statistical analysis**

Effect of nicotine on conditioning on acquisition of nicotine-elicted CPP and effect of pretreatment on nicotine elicited CPP was analyzed by two-way ANOVA with pre-conditioning and post-conditioning values as a within-subjects (column) factor and treatment as an independent between subject (row) factors. Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test was employed for post-hoc comparison. *p*<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Dose dependent effect of nicotine on CPP in mice**

Figure 1 shows the dose dependent effect of nicotine (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg) on the CPP behavior in mice. To determine the effect of nicotine on conditioning on acquisition of nicotine-elicted CPP, data were analyzed by two-way ANOVA with pre-conditioning and post-conditioning values as a within-subjects (column) factor and treatment as an independent between subject (row) factor. Two-way ANOVA revealed significant effect of treatment [F(3,40)=4.119, *p*<0.05], time [F(1,40)=23.76, *p*<0.001] and interaction [F(3,40)=5.244, *p*<0.01]. Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-hoc analysis showed that mice receiving...
nicotine preferred drug-paired compartment during post-conditioning session (0.5 mg/kg, p<0.01 and 1 mg/kg, p<0.001) as compared to saline treated control animals. However, lower dose of nicotine (0.25 mg/kg) was found to be ineffective in exhibiting side preference. Side preference was not significantly different during pre-conditioning in any groups in study.

**Dose dependent effect of WSE on CPP in mice**

Figure 2 shows the dose dependent effect of WSE (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg, ip) on the CPP behavior in mice. On days 2-6, while WSE was given 6 in the morning session and saline was administered in the evening. At both sessions mice were kept in respective WSE- or saline-paired compartment for 15 min. Two-way ANOVA with pre-conditioning and post-conditioning values as a within-subjects (column) factor and treatment as an independent between subject (row) factor was employed for checking the significant difference. WSE did not produce any changes in the preference to drug-paired compartment. Factors like treatment \[F(3,40) = 0.656, p>0.05\], time \[F(1,40) = 7.383, p<0.01\] and interaction \[F(3,40) = 0.5748, p>0.05\] showed insignificant effects. Bonferroni’s multiple comparison post-hoc analysis also showed no difference in the time spent in drug paired compartment during post-conditioning session by WSE treatment as compared to saline treated control animals. During pre-conditioning side preference was not significantly different any groups in study.

**Effect of pretreatment of WSE on nicotine-induced CPP in mice**

Figure 3 shows the effect of pretreatment with WSE (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg, ip) 30 min before the administration of nicotine (1 mg/kg) and exposure to the assigned compartment for 15 min. Pre-conditioning preference times did not differ significantly between experimental groups. Application of two-way ANOVA suggested that pretreatment with WSE with nicotine produced statistically significant prevention of nicotine preference behavior in mice. Effect was significant in factors like treatment \[F(4,50)=3.209, p<0.05\], time \[F(1,50)=25.25, p<0.001\] and interaction \[F(4,50)=3.785, p<0.01\]. Post-hoc Bonferroni’s multiple comparison test showed that nicotine (1 mg/kg) treated mice spent more time in drug-paired compartment during post-conditioning phase as compared to those of saline control group (p<0.01). Pretreatment with WSE (50 mg/kg) did not reverse nicotine preference during post-conditioning phase and these mice spent comparable time in nicotine-paired compartment as that of nicotine per se treatment group (p>0.05). Time spent in nicotine-paired compartment was reduced by pretreatment with higher dose of WSE (100 and 200 mg/kg) as compared to per se nicotine group (p<0.01 and p<0.001, respectively).

**DISCUSSION**

The results of the present study demonstrate that the administration of WSE prevented acquisition of nicotine-elicted CPP in mice. Nicotine is well known substance of abuse present in tobacco. Number of studies demonstrated the rewarding and reinforcement activities of nicotine in different animal models such self administration and CPP. In agreement with 13 that nicotine, under appropriate experimental conditions, elicited CPP. CPP was developed to assess the rewarding potential of psychoactive substances in animals. In a typical CPP experiment, a stimulus of interest is conditioned to distinct environmental cues. The animal demonstrates a preference for the conditioned environment over the environment conditioned to a non-rewarding (placebo) stimulus if the stimulus is rewarding. It is readily adaptable to mice and does not require surgery or extensive training as that necessary for self-administration paradigms. We also noticed that nicotine produced CPP in mice in dose dependent manner. The nicotine treated animals spent significantly more time in the drug-paired compartment versus the vehicle-paired compartment. This indicated the rewarding potential of nicotine which may be responsible for the addiction liabilities of agent. Nicotine produced behavioral effects in mice with very narrow dose range. While lower dose may not produce any rewarding effect, higher dose may lead to seizure. In addition, prediction of rewarding activity, dose used in the study should not affect the locomotor behavior in animals. Thus it was very critical to select the dose of nicotine. Previously, we observed that 1 mg/kg of nicotine produced CPP in mice. Our results confirmed the rewarding potential of nicotine in CPP.

**Figure 1:** Dose dependent effect of nicotine on acquisition of conditioned place preference in mice. Nicotine (0.25, 0.5 and 1 mg/kg) was administered 30 min before the postconditioning test. Data are shown as average time spent (sec)±SEM in the drug-paired compartment. *p<0.01 and **p<0.001 vs saline treated control group during post-conditioning (two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test).

**Figure 2:** Dose dependent effect of WSE on acquisition of conditioned place preference in mice. WSE (50, 100 and 200 mg/kg) was administered 30 min before the postconditioning test. Data are shown as average time spent (sec)±SEM in the drug-paired compartment. Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni’s post-hoc test was applied to test the significance of results.
Also, in our earlier findings, we found WSE enhance the nicotine induced locomotor sensitization and withdrawal induced anxiety in mice. In view of this, several studies have already established a role of *Withania somnifera* in attenuation of ethanol and morphine withdrawal syndrome. It also decreases cocaine and fentanyl self-administration and in habituated morphine CPP. These findings supported by available literature. Several studies have demonstrated the antistress, adaptogenic and immunomodulatory potential of *Withania somnifera*.14-21 Indian ginseng like to Hypericum perforates and Panax ginseng shows 5-HT, GABA, acetylcholine and dopaminergic modulations,22-24 accounting for its CNS effects.

The main objective of the present study was to characterize further the ability of WSE to interfere with the rewarding properties of nicotine. Antagonistic potential of WSE in ethanol20 and morphine21 induced CPP was previously reported. We observed that WSE prevented the nicotine-induced acquisition of CPP. In addition, WSE dose-dependently prevented CPP acquisition. Our results indicate that, at least at the doses used in these experiments, WSE was devoid of motivational properties per se. Role of GABAa receptors was suggested in effect of WSE in ethanol-induced CPP. Some studies were aimed at finding the role of mu-opioid receptors in the action of WSE. However, WSE showed low binding affinity to mu-opioid receptors14 and elicit naltexone-like effect. Previously it was demonstrated that WSE affect preferentially events in the cortical and basal forebrain cholinergic signal transduction cascade.15 The drug-induced increase in cortical muscarinic acetylcholine receptor capacity may lead to pharmacological effects. In addition, *in silico* studies documented the agonistic activity of phytoconstituents of WSE to nicotinic cholinergic activity.16 Previously role of nicotinic cholinergic receptor (alpha4-beta2) is reported in nicotine-induced CPP behavior.17 This collectively suggests that WSE may be via acting on nicotinic cholinergic receptor mediate nicotine CPP. Further biochemical and neurological investigations at molecular level to identify exact anti-addictive mechanism of WSE may be warranted.

In conclusion, all of the above results suggest that WSE was effective in reversing nicotine CPP. Although we did not perform any studies to dig out the exact mechanism of WSE, this effect might be attributed to action on one or more of a number of possible targets particularly nicotinic cholinergic receptors. In this regard, future investigations on the applica-
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**Summary**

- The main objective of the present study was to characterize the ability of WSE to interfere with the rewarding properties of nicotine. Antagonistic potential of WSE in ethanol and morphine induced CPP was previously reported. We observed that WSE prevented the nicotine-induced acquisition of CPP. In addition, WSE dose-dependently prevented CPP acquisition. All of the above results suggest that WSE was effective in reversing nicotine CPP. Although we did not perform any studies to dig out the exact mechanism of WSE, this effect might be attributed to action on one or more of a number of possible targets particularly nicotinic cholinergic receptors. In this regard, future investigations on the application of Withania somnifera, and other herbal remedies, in the scenario of prevention and treatment of distinct aspects of smoking may represent promising advances.
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